

Contrastive Machine Learning and Hyperparameter Optimization for Detecting Nuclear Material Transfers

Jordan Stomps

University of Wisconsin-Madison

ETI Annual Workshop

February 20 – 21, 2024, Golden, CO





ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES & INNOVATION





- Traditional methods for tracking nuclear material require the time-consuming manual analysis of measurements
- Use transfer measurements collected around the Multi-Informatics for Nuclear Operating Scenarios (MINOS) tested at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)
- Shielded radiological material transfers:
 - Byproducts
 - ²²⁵Ac
 - Activated Metals
 - Spent Fuel
 - Nuclear Material
 - Fresh Cm
 - ²⁵²Cf
 - Fresh Np
 - Irradiated Np



MINOS Sensor Map





Mission Relevance



- **Goal:** Detect the transportation of shielded radiological material by identifying and characterizing radiation signatures
 - Is a nuclear material transfer occurring?
 - What kind of material is it?
 - How much material is it?
- Main Objective: How can Semi-Supervised Machine Learning (SSML) and/or Self-Supervised Learning (SSL) extract information from both unlabeled and labeled data for application in nuclear nonproliferation?
- Impact: This research establishes a methodology enabling radiation monitoring in data-rich, label-poor environments









The contrastive framework has four components:

- Data augmentation transforms samples using a set of augmentation types/rules
- **Base encoder** encodes representations
- **Projection head** casts final shape for measuring similarity and calculating loss
- Contrastive loss function maximizes agreement between positive (similar) samples







How can gamma spectra be augmented?

Given an instance s, apply a transform $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ to get an augmented instance \tilde{s}

•











6

Contrastive models can distinguish between material transfers.

- Encoder is contrastively trained on 68,124 weakly anomalous, unlabeled spectra
- A linear classifier is trained on 52 labeled spectra
- This linear classifier beats Scikit-Learn's toolbox
 - Supervised models could only train on 52
 labeled spectra





The model detects some transfer types better than others.









What is the model learning?

ETI

- Integrated Gradient can help connect detection to spectral features
- A higher importance means more influence on final classification decision
- Some patterns might be unrealistic, but they mimic regions of interest
- Learned information from unlabeled data is embedded across principal components





How should scarce labeled data be allocated for training?



- Semi-supervised contrastive learning regularizes representations to the classification tasks
- The classifier needs labeled data for supervised learning
- If labeled data is noisy, more training data does not necessarily mean higher detection accuracy
- If labeled data is limited, training the classifier should be prioritized

Average Accuracy		Representation Model				
		0.00%	10.00%	20.00%	30.00%	40.00%
	10.00%	75.651	72.827	72.977	76.185	75.496
	20.00%	77.1	76.871	76.795	75.575	-
Classifier	30.00%	75.039	77.863	75.42	-	-
	40.00%	78.398	78.932	-	-	-
	50.00%	77.253	-	-	-	-



How long should hyperparameter optimization be run?



- The answer depends on time, computational resources, model complexity, and data quality
- No systematic relationship between hyperparameters and accuracy is observed
- Independent hyperopt sequences quickly converge, but not to the same level





10

Conclusion



Is there value in using unlabeled data for detection tasks?

• Yes! Information in unlabeled data helps SSML outperform SL techniques.

How can contrastive learning be efficiently used with radiation spectra for material transfer detection?

- By using augmentations specifically designed for radiation detection principles.
- Classifiers built on contrastively trained representations achieve up to 80.30% balanced accuracy.

How can a model be evaluated for explainability?

• PCA and Integrated Gradients suggest relevant pattern recognition for contrastive models.

How can scarce labeled data be effectively allocated to training tasks?

• When labeled data are limited, prioritize classifier training.

When is a contrastive model sufficiently optimized?

• Maximally achieved accuracy quickly converges but must be found empirically.





ETI Impact



- What is the impact of the ETI on your development?
 - Conferences: 2023 INMM & ESARDA Joint Annual Meeting, ETI workshops, University Program Reviews
 - Internship at ORNL
 - NA-22 funded project on robust data analytics for simulated environmental samples
 - Conferences: Conference on Data Analytics (CoDA), ORNL AI Expo
- Personnel transitions: (1/8/2024; Staff Scientist) Nonproliferation Data Scientist at ORNL
- Technology transitions
 - There is an active research interest in leveraging unlabeled or analogous datasets to enhance rare or limited labeled datasets (LDRD on limited data across modalities)
- Thank you to...
 - Advisor: Paul Wilson
 - Mentor: Ken Dayman
 - MINOS Collaboration: Dan Archer, Michael Willis, Andrew Nicholson, and James Ghawaly





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy / National Nuclear Security Administration under Award Number(s) DE-NA0003921.

